JCT Signal Symposium 2013

A short paper by:

Derrick Witts: I Eng. FIHE. MCIHT.

**Aspect Traffic Solutions Ltd** 

'THE MISSING LINK'



VA – CLF – FT UTC – SCOOT – MOVA – LINKED MOVA



# Acknowledgements:

## South Gloucestershire Council (Tony Sharp)

# Gloucestershire County Council (Phil Cameron)

## Gloucestershire Highways (Atkins/Skanska) (Susan Witts)

JSTSM Ltd (John Spence)

PR Signals Ltd (Paul Rouse)

Telent Technology Services Ltd (Simon Winter)

About six or seven years ago having become more involved in MOVA design and implementation with JSTSM and with a background of highways and signal design, SCOOT system commissioning and validation, previously spanning twenty odd years I had the idea and desire to provide a greater integration between SCOOT and MOVA control systems.

The industry has been debating the pros and cons of each system for some time, with comparative live tests and copious modelling, hooked on the search for the holy grail of 'which is the best' operational strategy.

You'll be pleased to know that this short paper doesn't get involved in the comparison of the two systems, because in my view, each has its place. Many local authorities now implement and utilise BOTH strategies providing the opportunity to pick and choose between each as they see fit.

UTMC and UG405 development, now acknowledges parallel system architecture with the integration of OMCU MOVA and Outstation Transmission Units and the relatively new MO, MR, ML & MF ancillary bit mnemonics now forming part of UTC timetable control and fault reporting associated with MOVA / UTC nodes.

Clients with SCOOT systems and with the foresight to implement MOVA as a parallel control strategy may be interested in further integration utilising MOVA and UTC system communications, providing 'traditional' MOVA linking **without** a hard wire link and **without** a bespoke wireless communication system.

In deciding to put pen to paper on this topic I am cautious in assuming that this technique has never been used before. I have consulted with the leading controller configuration engineers and they have declared that their controller conditioning has not included this technique. I have also spoken with some local authorities and they have not used the technique in their control systems.

If we take the example of two or more adjacent SCOOT nodes and add MOVA control to some or all of them, we have two parallel strategies where the traffic engineer chooses to run either, SCOOT, MOVA or even a mix of both: (Martin Wylie's Southampton trials refer).

Depending on the distance between nodes the implementation of MOVA may or may not include the provision of a hard-wire link, to enable relatively close junctions with either integral duct systems or systems that can be easily 'joined up' to utilise 'standard' or 'traditional' MOVA linking techniques as developed by JSTSM. This provides the traffic engineer with TWO systems capable of providing a linked control strategy.

Junctions distant enough to form SCOOT Region Boundaries are unlikely to require 'firm' linking. Whilst SCOOT can and will provide coordination over longer distances, benefit is reduced due to platoon dispersal and the potential for an increase in overall lost time and subsequent reduction in node efficiency.

Under current strategies, junctions that are closely spaced say 100m-200m distant, which do not have integrated duct systems and where the project funds cannot afford to enhance the infrastructure, these sites will probably remain unlinked under MOVA 'fall-back' control. We might consider the use of wireless linking in which case, the sites can be linked using this technology.

Let's go back to the analogy where there simply isn't any budget for enhanced infrastructure and a bespoke wireless system cannot be provided.

Here's where the missing link technique comes in.

Below is the system architecture for MOVA linking using UTC communications:

	UTC MO	VA 'FREE' L	INKING AR	RCHITECTU	RE							
		-										
	Α	NODE 1	N40111	Regent	ſwo Mile							
		F1 🤶	F2#	F3#	F4	D2	D3	DX	SO			 
		TS	<u>GO</u>					МТО	MO			 
	UG405											 
	3G	G1	G2	G3	G4	DR2	DR3	DF			MOVA	 
		CC	CF	MC	RR	LF1	LF2					 
		MR	ML	MF	<sup>→</sup> ARQ1	ARQ2						
R												
E		_										
G	В	NODE 2	N40121	Regent I	Downend							$\rightarrow$
E												
Ν		F1 🦟	F2#	F3#	F4	D2	D3	DX	SO			
Т		TS	<mark>ASF1</mark> ←	ASF2				MTO	MO			
S	UG405										MOVA	
т	3G	G1	G2	G3	G4	DR2	DR3	DF		$\rightarrow$		
R		CC	CF	MC	RR	LF1	LF2	HC		Mdet links		
E		MR	ML	MF	BRQ1-	BRQ3						
E												
Т												
	С	NODE 3	N40131	Regent H	Hanam							>
		F1	F2#	F3#	F4	D2	D3	DX	SO			
		тs	ASF1	ASF2	BSF1	BSF3		мто	MO			 
	UG405										MOVA	 
	36	G1	G2	G3	G4	DR2	DR3	DF		$ \longrightarrow $		 
		CC	CF	MC	RR	LF1	LF2	HC		Mdet links		 
		MR	ML	MF								 
	1. Mova	generates	Force Bit				_					
	2. Sneria	l condition	ning links F	orce Bit to	RO rentv t	o UTC						
	3. Syster	n transfers	RO reply a	t Node 1†	o SF contro	l bit at No	des 2 & 3					
	,								I			
	4. Specia	I condition	ning genera	ated by red	ceipt of SF l	bit starts P	ulse and I	Hold delay	timers for Mdets			

After several years (a bit like waiting for a red bus to come along) as luck would have it I have two projects in the same financial year both with similar installation time frames and both with similar client requirements.

Two existing SCOOT junctions are to be refurbished in Cheltenham Gloucestershire, both incorporating upgrade to MOVA control. No duct link between the junctions and no cash to extend the infrastructure.

Three junctions in Kingswood South Gloucestershire, previously running DUSC, are to be upgraded to MOVA and SCOOT control with new 3G communications. There are no interlinking ducts between adjacent nodes. A Wireless linking system is not only an additional cost, but there are line of sight issues to overcome. The installation of 3G routers with UG405's provides communication without the expense of Broadband. In the above South Gloucestershire example, the road network is linear one way. The objective is 'simple'! Clear the traffic in Kingswood High Street as part of a bus priority and air quality management brief.



Google map image

We can pass RQ and SF bits between nodes using the UTC system and its communications, providing **FREE** linking!

### This is how it works.

## Junction A - Node 40111 O.T.U. X40110

A MOVA Force bit for Stage-1 generates an RQ (remote request) reply bit back to the instation.

The RQ bit is translated to an SF (special facility bit) at the UTC instation which replies to Junction B - N40121 O.T.U. X40120.

The receipt of the SF bit supplies a mnemonic input to the controller where via special conditioning delay timers are set and outputs to MOVA link detectors are provided.

The same rationale can be applied between junctions B & C and the same rationale can be applied to any other forced stage from the upstream junction.

The provision of PULSE and or more particularly HOLD outputs can keep downstream main stages active long enough for normal MOVA detector activity to take over control, reducing the likelihood of stop starts whilst maintaining the flexibility and efficiency of MOVA control.

Variations in the controller special conditioning can allow for the presence of demands or extensions in order to set pulses and holds appropriately and take account of revert to all red or revert to main stage conditions. The controller can also utilise timetable events to add or remove outputs by time of day, remembering that UTC time synchronisation will ensure that these events are correctly applied.

Setting the RQ and SF data has been an 'experiment'. I have found a method that works in terms of bit transfer and translation at the Siemens UTC instation. There may be other methods of achieving this unknown to the author. In the fullness of time, if the benefit of utilising this system is proven, there would undoubtedly be scope to incorporate linking bit transfer data as part of the UTC system database.

# SYSTEM DATABASE SETTINGS

(A Siemens system is used. It is acknowledged that the Peek UTC system will be equally capable)

There is most likely more than one way to configure the UTC system bits. I can see two ways of preparing the Remote Request elements of the database, no doubt there are others and quite possibly the potential to 'overlay' bits to achieve the same outcome; although I would not recommend the latter.

The instation words have to incorporate the RQ and SF bits.



The detail for the position and format of the bits is prepared in the Remote Request Data page. The html database screens are shown, but you can use DBAS.

R	emo	te Request Data \mid	Remo	ote Plan	G	reenwave	8.\	/IP	
SCN	тсс	Description	ΟΤυ	OTU Word	Bit Pos	Туре			
Z31124	A	Springfield Crossing	X31120	1	13	User Defined			
Z31125	Α	Springfield Crossing	X31120	1	14	User Defined			
Z40111	Α	Two Mile Link Reply Site 1 S1	X40110	2	0	Remote Plan			
Z40112	Α	Two Mile Link Reply Site 1 S2	X40110	2	1	Remote Plan			
Z40121	Α	Downend Link Reply Site 2 S1	X40120	2	8	Remote Plan			
Z40122	A	Downend Link Reply Site 2 S2	X40120	2	9	Remote Plan			

Remote Plan Remote Plan Remote Plan Remote Data
Remote Request Data   Remote Plan   / eenwave & VIP
SCN: [Z40111]
TCC: [A]
Description: Two Mile Link Reply Site 1
Outstation: X40110
Outstation Data Word: 2
Data Bit Position: 0
Type: <sup>©</sup> Remote Plan <sup>©</sup> Bridge Spec
Latch: 🔽
Special Facility to call: F40121
Remote Plan Data:
Subarea or controller SCN:
Plan number: 0
Plan timeout: 0
Inhibit timeout: 0
Run plan whilst remote request present: 🗹
Synchronize plan with master cycle counter: 🗌
Save Changes
Delete   Reload   <<   <   >   >>   Add   List View

The association between the RQ bit and the downstream SF bit is made here.

To complete the linking association, the RQ bit is set in the UPSTREAM O.T.U, and the SF bit is set in the DOWNSTREAM O.T.U. The two bits are 'latched' together.

	Logged in as user SPARK
Special Facility Data	
SCN: [F40122]	TCC: [A] IRN: [2]
Type: One Downend Road Site 21 an	RR Bit Present: Confirm Bit Present:
Outstation: X40120	Outstation Data Word: 2 Data Bit Position: 1
Link List Number: 0	Link List Master:
Enable By Plan Data:	
Junction or Pelican SCN:	
Enable by Plan:	
Enable by Translation Plan:	
Save Changes	

The Special Facility Data page is used to input this data.

The UG405 outstation is configured with Reply (Zxxxxx) inputs which need to be manually inverted and SF (Fxxxxx) bits. Corresponding bit positions have to be used in the instation and outstation setup.

	Logge										ged in a	is user	SPARK	Y			
	nuir	h	nt.	ΔΠσ	ocat	tion	for	- X4	012	0							
	141				/cu			~~	012								
Outstation X401	20 (Dov 8 101 2-	vnend R	load Re	) - Cont	rol byte	s: 3, Re	eply byt	es: 8									
Control Word :	1																
140424	015	014	013	012	011	010	009	800	007	006	005	004	003	002	001	000	
J40121			GO	15	50		DX		DC	DB			FD	FC	FB	FA	
Control Word : 2	2																
140121	015	014	013	012	011	010	009	800	007	006	005 MO	004	003	002	001	000	
F40121											NIC					SF	
F40122															SF		
Reply Word 1																	
	015	014	013	012	011	010	009	800	007	006	005	004	003	002	001	000	
J40121	LF2	LF1	MC	CS	RR	CF	DF		DRC	DRB			GD	GC	GB	GA	
Reply Word : 2																	
	015	014	013	012	011	010	009	800	007	006	005	004	003	002	001	000	
J40121								DO	MF	ML	MR						
Z40121 Z40122							RQ	RQ									
Downen	d Link Re	ĺ															
Reply Word : 3	015	014	013	012	011	010	009	008	007	006	005	004	003	002	001	000	
N40121J1			0.0										VS1	VS1	VS1	VS1	
N40121G1									VS2	VS2	VS2	VS2					
N40121H1	1/04	100	100	1004	VS3	VS3	VS3	VS3									
14013131	V 34	V.34	V.34	V34													
mport CSV File ?		Die		aleet A	Site								ottina	Storto		ng	
Brower		Pie															
Import for Freestan	ding OTU gral OTU	Use t	Use the pane to the left to select a site									This maj rep	This screen allows configuration of the mapping between UTC/MOVA control and reply bits, and the controller interface.				
Wipe Existing Sites	on Import	?										CS	V files ger	nerated by	the instati	on can b	
Import 2	Import											Alte crea	Alternatively, a new configuration can be created and the bit mappings entered				
Go to UD Options	Go to UD Options											indi	Individually.				
Add Site ?	Add Site ?												When updating the configuration, first use the "Submit" button to hold the results of the edits to each site in turn, then use the "Saw to Eile" button to make all chapace.				
Site ? Del?	Site ? Del?											per	manent ar	id active.	ui changes	5	
140121 □ Edit 240121 □ Edit												Any be a	Any changes (including CSV import) will no be applied until the "Save to File" button is				
Z40122 Edit   E40121 Edit												use	ed.				
F40122 Edir   MOVA Edir   X40120 Edir	t t																
Rename or Delete ?		_															
Save to File ?		-															
Revent Ghanges																	

Controller special conditioning is used to enable the issuing of the RQ bits to the system and the SF bits out to the MOVA unit as link detectors. Force and Hold delay timers and use of extension conditioning is managed in the 'normal' way. The main difference between this and cable linking is the communications transmission delay, which from experience and observation is consistent enough not to significantly implicate the linking as long as it's taken into account. The whole transmission cycle from appearance of RQ to SF is between 3 & 4 seconds.

### THE SYSTEM IN ACTION

Using instation IP addressable connectivity is it now possible to view the Instation MONI / NFTD message screens and the MOVA commissioning screens at the same time.

Real time monitoring via the UTC Instation and on-street validation of the MOVA linking system is undertaken to reduce or remove stop-starts in traffic platoons, whilst maintaining the flexibility of control provided by MOVA. Improved traffic flow between the upstream entry node and subsequent junctions has removed congestion, aided bus movements and will have hopefully improved air quality. (The subject of further testing).

The ability to 'move' between SCOOT and MOVA strategies has yet to be further developed in this particular Region of control. South Gloucestershire in conjunction with Siemens is looking at UTMC Strategy Manager to provide dynamic movement between control strategies. Based on a mixture of monitoring techniques it is envisaged that both SCOOT and LINKED MOVA will be employed to ensure comprehensive management of traffic.

This system is brand new as of August 2013. It is work in progress and experimental. If successful, it is hoped that the application may be useful at many UTC junctions with unlinked MOVA fall-back. Depending on outcomes, it is hoped that the UTC Instation database can be upgraded to incorporate specific MOVA linking RQ & SF bits.

### SUMMARY

Linked MOVA using existing or new UTC communications equates to FREE-LINKING, providing a new level of integrated network control with ultimate flexibility when applied to suitably spaced junctions.

Health Warning: Critically spaced or very closely spaced junctions may need to be hardwired. No system communications means no linking under this strategy!

DWW August 2013 JCT Symposium